

Lineability and spaceability on vector-measure spaces

G. Barbieri^a, F.J. García-Pacheco^b, D. Puglisi^c

August 20, 2013

Abstract

It is proved that if X is infinite dimensional, then there exists an infinite dimensional space of X -valued measures which have infinite variation on sets of positive Lebesgue measure. In term of spaceability, it is also shown that $ca(\mathcal{B}, \lambda, X) \setminus M_\sigma$, measures with not σ -finite variation, contains a closed subspace. Other considerations are made for the space of vector measures whose range are neither closed nor convex. All of those results extend in some sense theorems in [7].

2000 MSC: Primary 28A33; Secondary 46E27.

Keywords and phrases: Lineability, spaceability, vector valued measures

a. Dipartimento di Matematica e Informatica, Università di Udine, Via delle Scienze 206, 33100 Udine, Italy.

email: giuseppina.barbieri@uniud.it

b. Department of Mathematical Sciences, University of Cadiz, Puerto Real 11510, Spain

email: garcia.pacheco@uca.es

c. Department of Mathematics and Computer Sciences, University of Catania, viale A. Doria 6, 95125, Catania, Italy

email: dpuglisi@dmi.unict.it

1 Brief introduction and results

We begin by recalling the following relatively new concepts related to the “algebraical size” of subsets of Banach spaces.

Definition 1.1 (Gurariy, 1991) *A subset M of a Banach space is said to be*

- 1. n -lineable if $M \cup \{0\}$ contains an n -dimensional vector subspace;*
- 2. lineable if $M \cup \{0\}$ contains an infinite dimensional vector subspace;*
- 3. dense-lineable if $M \cup \{0\}$ contains an infinite dimensional dense vector subspace;*

4. spaceable if $M \cup \{0\}$ contains an infinite dimensional closed vector subspace.

Let $I = [0, 1]$ be the unit interval and let \mathcal{B} denote the σ -algebra of all Borel subsets of I . Also, let λ be the Lebesgue measure on I . For a Banach space X we let $ca(\mathcal{B}, \lambda, X)$ stand for the space of all vector measures $\mu : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow X$ which are countable additive and absolutely continuous with respect to λ . Then $ca(\mathcal{B}, \lambda, X)$ is a Banach space endowed with the norm

$$\|\mu\|_{ca} = \sup_{A \in \mathcal{B}} \|\mu(A)\|_X.$$

Let us also recall that the *variation* of a vector measure is defined by

$$|\mu|(A) = \sup \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n \|\mu(A_i)\| : A_i \text{ pairwise disjoint } \bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i = A \right\}.$$

In the sequel, we shall use the following notation for the space

$$cabv(\mathcal{B}, \lambda, X) = \{\mu \in ca(\mathcal{B}, \lambda, X) : |\mu| \text{ is finite}\}.$$

Then $cabv(\mathcal{B}, \lambda, X)$ endowed with the variation norm $|\cdot|$ (i.e., the norm is $|\mu|(I)$) is a Banach space.

In [7] it is proved the following.

Theorem 1.2 *Let (\mathcal{B}, λ) be the Lebesgue measure space on the unit interval, let $1 \leq p < \infty$. Then the set of ℓ_p -valued measures with relatively compact range such that their variation measures take the value infinity on every non-null set is lineable in $ca(\mathcal{B}, \lambda, \ell_p)$.*

We would like to note that the above result holds for any infinite dimensional Banach space.

Following [3], let us denote by M_σ the subspace of $ca(\mathcal{B}, \lambda, X)$ of all measures μ such that $|\mu|$ is σ -finite. Let ρ be the metrizable vector topology on M_σ defined by the base $\{V_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$, where

$$V_n = \left\{ \mu \in ca(\mathcal{B}, \lambda, X) : \begin{array}{l} \|\mu\| \leq \frac{1}{2^n} \text{ and there exists } E \in \mathcal{B} \text{ with} \\ \lambda(E) \leq \frac{1}{2^n} \text{ and } |\mu|(I \setminus E) \leq \frac{1}{2^n} \end{array} \right\}$$

It is easy to see that (M_σ, ρ) is complete.

At this stage, we are ready to show the following.

Theorem 1.3 *Let X be any infinite dimensional Banach space and let (\mathcal{B}, λ) be the Lebesgue measure space on the unit interval. Then the set of X -valued measures with relatively compact range such that their variation measures take the value infinity on every non-null set is lineable in $ca(\mathcal{B}, \lambda, X)$.*

Proof. Let $(A_n)_n$ be a sequence of Borel sets in I such that

- $I = \bigcup_n A_n$;
- $A_n \cap A_m = \emptyset$ for $n \neq m$;
- $\lambda(A_n) > 0$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let P_n be the subspace of $ca(\mathcal{B}, \lambda, X)$ of all simple measures μ of kind

$$\mu(A) = \sum_{finite} \lambda(A \cap A_n) x_k, \quad A \in \mathcal{B}.$$

By a Dvoretzky-Rogers trick, it is not hard to show that

$$\overline{P_n}^{ca(\mathcal{B}, \lambda, X)} \not\subseteq M_\sigma \quad (\text{see [3]}).$$

Therefore, if we pick $\mu_n \in \overline{P_n}^{ca(\mathcal{B}, \lambda, X)} \setminus M_\sigma$, we have that

- all the μ_n 's have relatively compact range and their variation measures take the value infinity on every non-null set (see [3, Theorem 2]),
- all linear combinations of the μ_n 's have relatively compact range and their variation measures take the value infinity on every non-null set, and
- the μ_n 's are linearly independent (because they have disjoint supports).

■

Now, we would like to deal with the following question.

Question 1.4 *Is $ca(\mathcal{B}, \lambda, X) \setminus M_\sigma$ spaceable?*

It is proved in [6] (see also [1]) the following remarkable result.

Theorem 1.5 *Let Z_n ($n \in \mathbb{N}$) be Banach spaces and X a Fréchet space. Let $T_n : Z_n \rightarrow X$ be continuous linear operators and Y the linear span of $\bigcup_n T_n(Z_n)$. If Y is not closed in X , then the complement $X \setminus Y$ is spaceable.*

Before going on, let us recall some standard concepts. For a sequence of Banach spaces $(X_n, \|\cdot\|_n)$ such that all X_n 's are (isomorphic to) a closed subspace of a bigger Banach space \mathcal{X} , consider

$$\left(\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} X_n \right)_c = \{x_n \in X_n : \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n \text{ exists in } \mathcal{X}\},$$

endowed with the norm

$$\|(x_n)_n\| = \sup_n \|x_n\|_n.$$

Then $\left(\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} X_n \right)_c$ is a Banach space.

We are ready to state the main Theorem of this note.

Theorem 1.6 *If X is infinite dimensional, then $ca(\mathcal{B}, \lambda, X) \setminus M_\sigma$ is spaceable.*

Proof. Let us fix a sequence $(A_n)_n \subseteq \mathcal{B}$ such that

1. $A_n \subseteq A_{n+1}$, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$,
2. $\lambda(A_{n+1} \setminus A_n) > 0$, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

3. $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n = I$.

Let $\Sigma_n = \{E \cap A_n : E \in \mathcal{B}\}$ be the σ -algebra generated by A_n . Since, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we can see $(cabv(\Sigma_n, \lambda, X), \|\cdot\|_{ca})$ as a closed subspace of $(cabv(\mathcal{B}, \lambda, X), \|\cdot\|_{ca})$ (via the natural map that associates to each $\mu \in (cabv(\Sigma_n, \lambda, X), \|\cdot\|_{ca})$ the measure that is equal to μ on Σ_n and zero outside A_n), then we can consider the Banach space

$$\left(\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (cabv(\Sigma_n, \lambda, X), \|\cdot\|_{ca}) \right)_c.$$

Let us define

$$\mathcal{M} = \left\{ (\mu_n)_n \in \left(\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (cabv(\Sigma_n, \lambda, X), \|\cdot\|_{ca}) \right)_c : \mu_{n+1}|_{\Sigma_n} = \mu_n \right\}.$$

Let us show that \mathcal{M} is a closed subspace of $(\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (cabv(\Sigma_n, \lambda, X), \|\cdot\|_{ca}))_c$.

Let $(\bar{\mu}^p)_p \subseteq \mathcal{M}$ (where $\bar{\mu}^p = (\mu_n^p)_n$ for each $p \in \mathbb{N}$) be a sequence such that

$$\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \bar{\mu}^p = \bar{\mu} = (\mu_n)_n \in \left(\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (cabv(\Sigma_n, \lambda, X), \|\cdot\|_{ca}) \right)_c;$$

explicitly,

$$\sup_n \sup_A \|\mu_n^p(A) - \mu_n(A)\| \xrightarrow{p \rightarrow \infty} 0.$$

Let $A \in \Sigma_n$. Since $\mu_{n+1}^p(A) = \mu_n^p(A)$ we have

$$\|\mu_{n+1}(A) - \mu_n(A)\| \leq \|\mu_{n+1}^p(A) - \mu_{n+1}(A)\| + \|\mu_n^p(A) - \mu_n(A)\| \xrightarrow{p \rightarrow \infty} 0.$$

Namely, $\bar{\mu} \in \mathcal{M}$. Therefore, \mathcal{M} is a Banach space.

Let us define

$$T : \mathcal{M} \longrightarrow (ca(\mathcal{B}, \lambda, X), \|\cdot\|_{ca})$$

defined by

$$T((\mu_n)_n)(A) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu_n(A \cap A_n) \quad \forall A \in \mathcal{B}.$$

Let us prove that T is a continuous linear operator such that $T(\mathcal{M}) = M_\sigma$.

First, let us note that T is well defined. Indeed, let $(E_k)_k \subseteq \mathcal{B}$ be a disjoint sequence of sets.

Then

$$\begin{aligned} T((\mu_n)_n)\left(\bigcup_k E_k\right) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu_n\left(\left(\bigcup_k E_k\right) \cap A_n\right) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu_n\left(\bigcup_k (E_k \cap A_n)\right) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_k \mu_n(E_k \cap A_n) \\ &= \sum_k \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu_n(E_k \cap A_n) \\ &= \sum_k T((\mu_n)_n)(E_k), \end{aligned}$$

since we have convergence with respect to the semivariation norm $\|\cdot\|_{ca}$. Moreover, it is evident that $T((\mu_n)_n)$ is λ -continuous.

The linearity follows directly from the definition.

For the continuity,

$$\begin{aligned} \|T((\mu_n)_n)\|_{ca} &= \sup_{A \in \mathcal{B}} \|T((\mu_n)_n)(A)\| \\ &= \sup_{A \in \mathcal{B}} \left\| \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu_n(A \cap A_n) \right\| \\ &\leq \sup_{A \in \mathcal{B}} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\mu_n(A \cap A_n)\| \\ &= \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sup_{A \in \mathcal{B}} \|\mu_n(A \cap A_n)\| \\ &= \|(\mu_n)_n\|_{\mathcal{M}}. \end{aligned}$$

From the equality $T(\mathcal{M}) = M_\sigma$, let us first note that $T((\mu_n)_n)$ is a measure of σ -finite variation. Indeed, by construction, for each $s \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\begin{aligned} |T((\mu_n)_n)|(A_s) &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\mu_n|(A_s) \\ (\text{by the definition on } \mathcal{M}) &= |\mu_s|(A_s) \\ &< +\infty. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, if $\mu \in M_\sigma$, since $|\mu|$ is σ -finite, consider an increasing sequence $(C_n)_n$ such that

$$\bigcup_n C_n = I \text{ and } |\mu|(C_n) < +\infty, \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N};$$

now, take $\mu_n \in cabv(\Sigma_n, \lambda, x)$ defined by $\mu_n(A \cap A_n) = \mu(A \cap A_n \cap C_n)$. Then, by construction, $(\mu_n)_n \in \mathcal{M}$ and we have

$$T((\mu_n)_n) = \mu.$$

It was already observed in [3] that M_σ , respect to the complete metric ρ , is not closed in $(ca(\mathcal{B}, \lambda, X), \|\cdot\|_{ca})$. Since the topology generated by ρ is stronger than the norm topology $\|\cdot\|_{ca}$, we have that $(M_\sigma, \|\cdot\|_{ca})$ is not closed in $(ca(\mathcal{B}, \lambda, X), \|\cdot\|_{ca})$ either. The proof is concluded by simply applying Theorem 1.5 above. \blacksquare

Let us recall the following definition (see [2]).

Definition 1.7 *Let (Ω, Σ) be a measurable space, λ a positive measure on Σ , and X an infinite dimensional Banach space. A measure $\mu \in ca(\lambda, X)$ is said to be injective when for each $\phi, \psi \in L_\infty(\lambda)$ the following condition holds:*

$$\text{if } \int \phi d\mu = \int \psi d\mu \text{ then } \phi = \psi \text{ } \lambda - a.e.$$

In [7], using a nice construction, the authors were able to show the following

Theorem 1.8 *Let λ be the Lebesgue measure on the Borel sets in $[0, 1]$ and X an infinite dimensional Banach space. Then the set of injective measures is lineable in $ca(\lambda, X)$.*

At this point we are interested in the spaceability of the set of injective measures. In [8], A. Wilansky proved the following general criterion to have spaceability

Theorem 1.9 *Let E be a Banach space. If F is a closed infinite codimensional vector subspace of a Banach space E , then $E \setminus F$ is spaceable.*

We would like to use this criterion to note the following.

Theorem 1.10 *Let λ the Lebesgue measure on the Borel sets in $[0, 1]$, and X be an infinite dimensional Banach space. Then the set of injective measures is spaceable in $ca(\lambda, X)$.*

Before providing the proof, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 1.11 *The space*

$$\mathcal{NI} = \{\mu \in ca(\lambda, X) : \mu \text{ is not injective}\}$$

is a closed subspace of $ca(\lambda, X)$.

Proof. We will provide two different proofs:

1th way To show that it is closed it is enough to note the following: a measure $\mu \in ca(\lambda, X)$ is injective if and only if the integral operator associate to μ

$$T_\mu : L_\infty(\lambda) \longrightarrow X$$

$$T_\mu(f) = \int f d\mu,$$

is injective.

Suppose that $(\mu_n)_n \subseteq \mathcal{NI}$ converges to $\mu \in ca(\lambda, X)$, and μ is injective. Therefore,

$$L_\infty(\lambda)^* = \overline{T_\mu^*(X^*)}^{weak^*}$$

Since $(\mu_n)_n$ converges to μ , we have that

$$\overline{T_\mu^*(X^*)}^{weak^*} \subseteq \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \overline{T_{\mu_n}^*(X^*)}^{weak^*}.$$

Thus, there must exists $\bar{n} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$weak^* - int(T_{\mu_{\bar{n}}}^*(X^*)) \neq \emptyset.$$

Since $T_{\mu_{\bar{n}}}^*(X^*)$ is a vector subspace, that would implies

$$\overline{T_{\mu_{\bar{n}}}^*(X^*)}^{weak^*} = L_\infty^*(\lambda).$$

Against the fact that $\mu_{\bar{n}} \in \mathcal{NI}$.

2th way It is well known that $\mu \in \mathcal{NI}$ if and only if for each $B \in \mathcal{B}$, $\{\mu(A \cap B) : A \in \mathcal{B}\}$ is convex and weakly compact (see [5]). However, the limit of a sequence of non-empty convex closed sets in the Hausdorff metric it is still a non-empty convex closed (see [4, 4.3.11]). Moreover, since $\mu_n \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu$ implies $\{\mu_n(A \cap B) : A \in \mathcal{B}\} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \{\mu(A \cap B) : A \in \mathcal{B}\}$ in the Hausdorff metric, we obtain that if each $\{\mu_n(A \cap B) : A \in \mathcal{B}\}$ is convex, weakly compact, and

$$\mu_n \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu,$$

then $\{\mu(A \cap B) : A \in \mathcal{B}\}$ is convex and weakly compact too.

From what we said above, it follows that \mathcal{NI} is a closed subspace of $ca(\lambda, X)$. ■

Proof of Theorem 1.10. From Lemma 1.11, we have that \mathcal{NI} is a closed subspace of $ca(\lambda, X)$ of infinite codimension. To show that the quotient $ca(\lambda, X)/\mathcal{NI}$ is infinite dimensional, it is sufficient to use a similar construction as in the proof of [7, Theorem 2.4]. Then Theorem 1.9 applies. ■

Since it is well known that every injective measure has range neither closed nor convex, we finally obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 1.12 *Let λ be the Lebesgue measure on the Borel sets in $[0, 1]$, and X an infinite dimensional Banach space. Then the set of measures whose range is neither closed nor convex is spaceable in $ca(\lambda, X)$.*

Acknowledgement. This paper have been written while D. Puglisi was visiting University of Udine. He would like to thank G. Barbieri and H. Weber for their kind hospitality.

References

- [1] L. Drewnowski, Quasicomplements in F-spaces, *Studia Math.* **77** (1984) 373–391.
- [2] P.R. Halmos, The range of a vector measure, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **54** (1948), 416–421.
- [3] L. Janicka, N. J. Kalton; Vector measures of infinite variation. *Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Sr. Sci. Math. Astronom. Phys.* **25** (1977), no. 3, 239–241.
- [4] E. Klein, A. C. Thompson, Theory of correspondences. Including applications to mathematical economics. *Canadian Mathematical Society Series of Monographs and Advanced Texts. A Wiley-Interscience Publication. John Wiley and Sons, Inc., New York*, (1984).
- [5] G. Knowles, Lyapunov vector measures, *SIAM J. Control*, **13** (1975), 294–303.
- [6] D. Kitson, R. M. Timoney; Operator ranges and spaceability. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **378** (2011), no. 2, 680–686.
- [7] G. A. Muñoz-Fernández, N. Palmberg, D. Puglisi, J. B. Seoane-Sepúlveda; Lineability in subsets of measure and function spaces. *Linear Algebra Appl.* **428** (2008), no. 11-12, 2805–2812.
- [8] A. Wilansky, Semi-Fredholm maps of FK spaces. *Math. Z.* **144** (1975), no. 1, 9–12.